

ARROWHEAD UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT  
SPECIAL BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETING  
NOVEMBER 9, 2011  
MINUTES

The meeting was called to order by President LeBlanc at 5:30 p.m. in the District Office Board Room.

Members present: Joe LeBlanc, Bob Rosch, Craig Thompson, Mike Kusch, Tim Langer, Kent Rice, Donna Vrakas,  
Al Zietlow

Unable to attend: Sue Schultz

Administration present: Craig Jefson, Steve Kopecky, Bonnie Laugerman, Gregg Wiczorek, Kevin Flegner,  
Kevin Lipscomb, Mary Ann Beckman (arrived at 6:05 p.m.)

Others present: Bill Foster, School Perceptions LLC  
Kit Dailey and Bob Vajgrt, Eppstein Uhen Architects  
Several members of the Facilities Community Task Force  
Jamie Trudell, Lake Country Reporter

The meeting was properly posted.

Bill Foster, president of School Perceptions LLC, presented the Arrowhead Union High School Community Survey Report, dated November 2011, to the Board of Education and answered questions. The complete report is available on the district's website.

A total of 1,960 community members responded to the survey, representing a 15% response rate, which is considered a very good response rate, with a margin of error of  $\pm 2.2\%$ . The survey was developed in direct response to the recommendations presented in the Facilities Community Task Force Report Prepared for the Arrowhead Union High School Board of Education, dated May 4, 2011. Survey concepts included: Campus Layout & Site Safety; North Campus West Gym Expansion; Educational Fine Arts; and Swimming Pool. Respondents were asked to rank the potential facilities projects in order of importance, with the following results: 1) Campus Layout & Site Safety; 2) Educational Fine Arts; 3) North Campus West Gym Expansion; and 4) Swimming Pool. Of those respondents indicating funding support for a future project, 44% indicated that they would likely support a project in the \$20 million range, or an annual tax increase of \$40 for every \$100,000 of property value; 27% indicated that they would likely support a project in the \$40 million range, or an annual tax increase of \$80 for every \$100,000 of property value. However, 40% of all respondents indicated that they would not support any referendum, and 16% were undecided or needed more information. In terms of timing, 47% of respondents felt that the school board should implement the facilities plan in phases, as funding becomes available; 32% felt that the district should do nothing at this time; and 12% felt that the school board should implement a comprehensive plan that addresses all the needs. Overall, 81% of respondents believed that the district is accomplishing its mission to prepare students to meet the intellectual, physical, and emotional demands of the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

Moved by Rosch, seconded by Zietlow to adjourn. Motion Carried.

The meeting adjourned at 6:32 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Diane Hoag  
Recording Secretary

---

Susan M. Schultz, Clerk